Factors describing the distribution of the zooplankton community in the Gulf of Finland in the context of interactions between native and introduced predatory cladocerans<sup>\*</sup>

OCEANOLOGIA, 49 (2), 2007. pp. 277–290.

© 2007, by Institute of Oceanology PAS.

KEYWORDS Baltic Mesozooplankton Non-indigenous Food web Predation

Arno Põllumäe\* Jonne Kotta

Estonian Marine Institute, University of Tartu, Mäealuse 10a, EE–12618 Tallinn, Estonia;

e-mail: arno@sea.ee

\*corresponding author

Received 11 December 2006, revised 24 April 2007, accepted 27 April 2007.

#### Abstract

Mesozooplankton communities were studied monthly at six sites in the Gulf of Finland during six ice-free seasons. The abundances of different zooplankton taxa were related to temperature, salinity, eutrophication level (total nitrogen and phosphorus), phytoplankton (Chl *a*) and density of predatory cladocerans, including the non-indigenous *Cercopagis pengoi* and the native *Leptodora kindtii*. The results indicated that variability in the zooplankton communities was correlated not only with predation by mesozooplankton but also with bottom-up effects. Predation by the non-indigenous *C. pengoi* may significantly affect the dynamics of Cladocera and Rotatoria in the Gulf of Finland during the summer season.

The complete text of the paper is available at http://www.iopan.gda.pl/oceanologia/

<sup>\*</sup> This study was financed by the Estonian Target Financing Programmes Nos 0182578s03 and the Estonian Science Foundation Grants Nos 6015 and 6016. Funding was also obtained through a U.S. Government Grant (SEN100–02–GR069). The opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the U.S. Government.

#### 1. Introduction

Invasive organisms have become one of the most serious threats to aquatic environments worldwide, causing unpredictable and irreversible changes in the recipient ecosystems (Carlton 1996, Ruiz et al. 1999). Ecosystems in which the biological diversity is naturally low are particularly vulnerable to biological invasions (Stachovicz et al. 1999). The Baltic Sea is an example of such an ecosystem: some 70 of 100 previously recorded nonindigenous species have established reproducing populations. While there is a plethora of data regarding the impacts of invasions by benthic species, comparable data on pelagic species are few and far between (Leppäkoski & Olenin 2001, Leppäkoski et al. 2002, Kotta et al. 2006).

One of the most recent planktonic newcomers to the Baltic Sea is the predatory cladoceran *Cercopagis pengoi*, which was first observed in the open sea of the Gulf of Finland in 1992 and in Finnish coastal waters in 1995 (Telesh & Ojaveer 2002). The species originates from the Ponto-Caspian area, and transfer of ballast water between international ports is suggested as a potential vector of this invasion (Leppäkoski & Olenin 2001).

Earlier literature on C. penqoi focused on its increasing dominance in the pelagic community (Ojaveer et al. 1998, 1999, Leppäkoski & Olenin 2001) and less on the effect of the invader on food webs (Vanderploeg et al. 2002, Laxson et al. 2003, Kotta et al. 2004, Ojaveer et al. 2004, Gorokhova et al. 2005). The objective of this study was therefore to relate prime abiotic and biotic factors, such as temperature, salinity, water nutrients, phytoplankton biomass and abundance of mesozooplankton taxa, to the abundance of C. pengoi in the Gulf of Finland. The studied relationships will indicate if the mesozooplankton is regulated by external nutrient loads and whether the invasion of C. pengoi potentially modifies the dynamics of the mesozooplankton. The Gulf of Finland is the northernmost water body in the world where C. pengoi has established a permanent population. Thus, information on how environmental variability is related to the dynamics of C. pengoi in such a harsh environment is essential for predicting the invasion potential of C. pengoi outside its temperature Moreover, information on significant relationships between optimum. C. pengoi and other mesozooplankton taxa will indicate the potential impacts of this cladoceran species in the recipient ecosystem.

## 2. Material and methods

Zooplankton samples were collected within the framework of the Estonian National Monitoring Programme at six stations in the Tallinn Bay area in 1997–2002 and in Narva Bay in 2001–2002 (Fig. 1). Tallinn Bay is



Fig. 1. Study area. Filled circles indicate the zooplankton sampling locations

located in the southern Gulf of Finland. The bay is relatively exposed and deep (max depth 100 m); in addition, the water exchange between it and the open gulf is good. Seasonal fluctuations in water temperature occur above 30 m depth, mainly from May to November. Maximum temperatures of 22  $-24^{\circ}$ C are observed in July. In the deeper parts of the bay the temperature is stable throughout the year at  $2-5^{\circ}$ C. During the winter, the bay is usually covered with ice. The large urban area of Tallinn affects the nutrient status of Tallinn Bay. Narva Bay, the largest bay in the south-eastern Gulf of Finland, resembles Tallinn Bay as regards exposure, water exchange and temperature regime, but is shallower (although the maximum depth of the bay is 80 m, most of it is only 20–40 m deep) and has a longer period of ice cover than the latter. The River Narva is the second largest river flowing into the Gulf of Finland and constitutes the most prominent nutrient source of Narva Bay. The open parts of Narva Bay are influenced by water from the River Neva, the largest river in the whole Baltic Sea catchment area.

The sampling was done during the ice-free period: fortnightly from June to August, and monthly in May and from September to November. The samples were collected by means of vertical tows with a Juday closing plankton net (mesh size 90  $\mu$ m, mouth area 0.1 m<sup>2</sup>). At deep stations zooplankton was sampled separately above and below the thermocline

when present. The samples were preserved in 4% formaldehyde solution in seawater. All *C. pengoi* in a sample were counted to calculate abundance. The abundances of other taxa were estimated from a number of subsamples according to the suggestion of HELCOM (1988). Altogether 255 samples were analysed. The following parameters were routinely monitored during zooplankton sampling: temperature and salinity profiles, nutrient concentrations and phytoplankton biomass (Chl a).

Winter values are used as a proxy for eutrophication in the Baltic Sea area because the plankton has not yet taken up the nutrients. Inorganic nutrients that have accumulated during the winter are assimilated during the spring bloom. The new production is used directly by either pelagic or benthic herbivores (HELCOM 2002). In this study the concentrations of total phosphorus (totP) and nitrogen (totN) measured annually at each station in the winter period were used to assess the level of eutrophication at the particular site.

The statistical analysis encompassed the raw data of zooplankton abundances and the set of environmental data collected for each site and sampling occasion separately. For univariate analysis the Statistica statistical program was used (StatSoft, Inc. 2004). Multivariate data analyses were performed by the Primer statistical program (Clarke & Warwick 2001). Correlation analyses were employed to describe the relationships between abiotic and biotic environmental variables (Sokal & Rohlf 1981).

The Bray-Curtis similarity measure was used to construct the similarity matrices (Bray & Curtis 1957). Spearman rank correlations  $\rho$  were computed between environmental data and the similarity matrices of the coefficients of determination (separate analyses for different zooplankton taxa). The analysis shows which environmental variables best predict the variability in zooplankton densities (Bioenv procedure, Clarke & Ainsworth 1993). The significance of the correlation was determined using the Relate program (Clarke & Warwick 2001).

## 3. Results

Average water temperatures showed no clear trend in the Gulf of Finland over the period analysed. Average salinities decreased gradually from 1998 onwards. The concentration of totP and totN in winter varied considerably between years. Similarly, Chl *a* concentrations fluctuated strongly and displayed no distinct trend (Fig. 2).

In general, among the cladocerans Bosmina coregoni maritima, Evadne nordmanni and Pleopsis polyphemoides were prevalent in the study area. Synchaeta spp. and Keratella spp. were the dominant rotifers. The most



Fig. 2. Interannual changes (average  $\pm$  SE) in water temperature [°C], salinity [PSU], totP, totN [ $\mu$ M dm<sup>-3</sup>] and Chl *a* content [mg m<sup>-3</sup>] in the Gulf of Finland in 1997–2002

important copepods were *Acartia* spp. and *Eurytemora affinis*. Meroplankton abundances were low and highly variable, the larvae of *Balanus improvisus* being dominant (Fig. 3, Table 1).



Fig. 3. Seasonal dynamics of temperature and the main zooplankton groups in the Gulf of Finland in 1997–2002

Zooplankton taxa	Average	Maximum	$\pm SE$
Acartia spp.	4377	88 000	593
Eurytemora affinis	6011	56  700	433
Cyclopidae	318	7000	47
Copepoda nauplii	$13 \ 373$	68  750	426
Bosmina coregoni maritima	2805	75  600	524
$Evadne\ nordmannni$	582	8400	89
Pleopsis polyphemoides	4746	104 800	1626
Keratella cochlearis	14 837	312  000	2290
Keratella cruciformis	3606	145000	1276
Keratella quadrata	$41 \ 454$	$511\ 000$	4130
Synchaeta baltica	8323	123000	1290
$Synchaeta\ monopus$	11 582	205  000	2608
Balanus improvisus nauplii	1644	31  700	228
Frittillaria borealis	7	400	3
Cercopagis pengoi	76	800	7
Leptodora kindtii	14	300	8

Table 1. Average  $(\pm SE)$  and maximum densities of the main zooplankton taxa [indiv. m<sup>-3</sup>] in the study area during 1997–2002

Zooplankton abundance peaked in 1998 and 2002. The densities of C. pengoi were significantly higher in 1999, 2001 and 2002 than in the other years studied. The dynamics of the native predatory cladoceran Leptodora kindtii resembled those of C. pengoi, though the densities of L. kindtii were ten times lower (Fig. 4).

C. pengoi appeared in the water column when the temperature rose above  $15^{\circ}$ C (Fig. 5). Therefore, only samples satisfying this temperature condition were used in the subsequent analysis of the potential effects of C. pengoi on the zooplankton community.

The abundances of non-predatory Cladocera were best explained by the density of *C. pengoi* (Table 2, Figs. 6 and 7). The density of nonpredatory Cladocera either increased (Spearman rank order correlations, p < 0.05: *B. coregoni maritima* r = 0.60) or decreased with increasing density of *C. pengoi* (*E. nordmanni* r = -0.74, *P. polyphemoides* r = -0.73). *B. c. maritima* tended to stay below the thermocline at higher abundances of *C. pengoi* in the surface layer (r = -0.61, p < 0.01). Furthermore, thermal stratification and the abundance of the native predator *L. kindtii* explained the additional variability in the density of non-predatory Cladocera (correlations between variability in temperature and Cladocera were < 0.10;



Fig. 5. Relationship between water temperature and density of *Cercopagis pengoi* in the Gulf of Finland in 1997–2002

P. polyphemoides vs L. kindtii r = -0.52, E. nordmanni vs L. kindtii r = -0.55).

Among the Rotatoria Keratella cochlearis, Synchaeta baltica and S. monopus correlated primarily with C. pengoi (K. cochlearis vs C. pengoi r = 0.59, S. baltica vs C. pengoi r = -0.59, S. monopus vs C. pengoi r = 0.09). Other rotifer species were related to salinity, temperature, thermal stratification, eutrophication level, Chl a or L. kindtii. The strength and direction of the relationship varied between the species under scrutiny. **Table 2.** Results of BIOENV analysis showing the best environmental variables predicting the abundances of different mesozooplankton taxa. The abbreviations of the variables are as follows: EUTR-P – eutrophication level (Ptot), EUTR-N – eutrophication level (Ntot), S – salinity, T – water temperature,  $\Delta T$  – thermal stratification, CHL – content of Chl *a*, ZOOPLANKTON – total abundance of zooplankton, LEPTODORA – abundance of *Leptodora kindtii*, CERCOPAGIS – abundance of *Cercopagis pengoi*, CYCLOPIDAE – abundance of Cyclopidae, PLEOPSIS – abundance of *Pleopsis polyphemoides*, EVADNE – abundance of *Evadne nordmanni* 

Zooplankton taxa	Significant environmental variables	Spearman $\rho$
Acartia spp.	EUTR-P, S, $\Delta T$	0.188
Eurytemora affinis	EUTR-P, S, $\Delta T$ ,	0.286
	LEPTODORA	
Cyclopidae	EUTR-P, S	0.406
Copepoda nauplii	EUTR-P, CHL, $\Delta T$	0.225
Bosmina coregoni maritima	CERCOPAGIS	0.328
$Evadne\ nordmanni$	$\Delta T$ , CERCOPAGIS,	0.567
	LEPTODORA	
$Pleopsis\ polyphemoides$	$\Delta T$ , CERCOPAGIS,	0.566
	LEPTODORA	
$Keratella\ cochlear is$	CERCOPAGIS	0.370
K. cruciformis	EUTR-P, S	0.143
K. quadrata	EUTR-P, CHL, T,	0.363
	ZOOPLANKTON,	
	LEPTODORA	
$Synchaeta \ baltica$	S, $\Delta T$ , CERCOPAGIS,	0.292
	LEPTODORA	
S. monopus	CHL, T, CERCOPAGIS	0.011
Balanus improvisus nauplii	EUTR-N, EUTR-P, S, T,	0.215
	ZOOPLANKTON	
Frittillaria borealis	Т	0.058
Cercopagis pengoi	T, EVADNE, PLEOPSIS	0.543
Leptodora kindtii	EUTR-N, EUTR-P, S, T,	0.435
	CYCLOPIDAE	

With the exception of *E. affinis* (r = -0.24), Copepoda did not correlate with *C. pengoi*. The variability in *E. affinis* was explained not only by *C. pengoi*, but also by salinity (r = 0.29), eutrophication level (r < 0.10) and *L. kindtii* (*E. affinis* vs *L. kindtii* r = -0.51). The variability in Cyclopidae (adults, copepodids) was explained by salinity (r = -0.67), eutrophication level (r<sub>Ntot</sub> = -0.25, r<sub>Ptot</sub> = 0.20) and *L. kindtii* (r = 0.68). Thermal stratification (r = -0.55), salinity (r = 0.45), eutrophication level



Fig. 6. Scatterplots between the abundances of *Cercopagis pengoi* and other zooplankton taxa in the Gulf of Finland in 1997–2002. Only those taxa were selected that were significantly related to the abundance of the predatory cladoceran in the Bioenv analyses



Fig. 7. Scatterplots between the abundances of *Leptodora kindtii* and other zooplankton taxa in the Gulf of Finland in 1997–2002. Only those taxa were selected that were significantly related to the abundance of the predatory cladoceran in the Bioenv analyses

(r < 0.10) and Chl a (r < 0.10) affected Acartia spp. The nauplii of Copepoda correlated with thermal stratification (r = -0.41) and Chl a (r = 0.33).

The abundance of meroplankton was explained by temperature (r < 0.10), salinity (r = 0.34), eutrophication level (r < 0.10) and zooplankton density (r = 0.60), but not by the density of the predators studied. The abundance of *C. pengoi* was best explained by thermal stratification (r = -0.24) and the densities of selected cladocerans (*E. nordmanni* and *P. polyphemoides*; for r values, see above). The abundance of *L. kindtii* was correlated with Chl *a* (r = 0.33), temperature (r = 0.36), salinity (r = -0.64) and the density of the eight zooplankton taxa (for r values, see above).

# 4. Discussion

The results indicated that both intermediate predators and bottomup effects affect the zooplankton communities to an equal extent and that predation by the non-indigenous C. *pengoi* may significantly modify the dynamics of Cladocera and Rotatoria in the Gulf of Finland during the summer season. According to the Bioenv analysis, the predatory cladocerans C. *pengoi* and L. *kindtii* were included in the models of different mesozooplankton taxa on 57% of occasions, whereas the eutrophication level accounted for 50% of differences.

Separate correlations between the density of C. pengoi and the native herbivorous mesozooplankton were either positive or negative. The positive interactions between species may be a consequence of the similar seasonal dynamics (e.g. *C. pengoi* vs *B. coregoni maritima* or *K. cochlearis*), whereas the negative values may be due to the different seasonality (e.g., *C. pengoi* vs *E. nordmanni* or *P. polyphemoides*).

C. pengoi was the only significant variable in the models of B. coregoni maritima and K. cochlearis. Alternatively, the indirect effect of selective predation on other zooplankton taxa may favour the population growth of these species and may explain their rising densities with the increase in C. pengoi. However, when the analysis was performed separately for the layers above and below the thermocline, B. c. maritima tended to remain below the thermocline at greater abundances of C. pengoi in the surface layer. These results suggest the direct predation of C. pengoi on B. c. maritima. No diurnal vertical migration of C. pengoi has been recorded in the Gulf of Finland, and the majority of the population is located in the upper water layer (Gorokhova et al. 2000). Hence, the deeper water layers may be considered a refuge for prey species such as B. c. maritima.

Previous field observations and laboratory trials showed that C. pengoi is able to feed on cladocerans (Laxson et al. 2003, Kotta et al. 2004, Ojaveer et al. 2004), earlier developmental stages of copepods, rotifers (Gorokhova

1998) and cirriped larvae (M. Simm, personal comment). The results of our study indicate that cladocerans and rotifers represent the most likely prey for *C. pengoi* in the Gulf of Finland.

Thermal stratification was an important variable for the dynamics of C. pengoi: the density of this cladoceran increased with rising temperature stratification (i.e., with decreasing wind stress). Differences in thermal stratification may explain the large-scale variability in C. pengoi populations in the different basins of the Baltic Sea; for example, the southern coastal areas of the Gulf of Finland are hydrodynamically more active than its less exposed northern coasts or the Gulf of Riga. Also, the densities of C. pengoi were about 5–10 times higher in the Gulf of Riga and the less exposed areas of the Gulf of Finland than at our sampling sites (Uitto et al. 1999, Ojaveer et al. 2004, this study). Earlier studies stressed the importance of both temperature and water column stability for the development of C. pengoi in the Baltic Sea area (Avinski 1997, Uitto et al. 1999, Ojaveer et al. 2004). As the temperature range in our community analyses was very narrow (15  $-22^{\circ}$ C its effect was not significant for C. pengoi. However, when the data for all seasons were included, temperature did become statistically significant, reflecting the species' southerly origin (Leppäkoski & Olenin 2001).

It has been suggested in many papers that the level of eutrophication may explain to a significant extent the spatial differences in the population of *C. pengoi* (Uitto et al. 1999, Strake 2002). In those studies, however, the effect of eutrophication was not quantified. Our study, on the other hand, clearly demonstrated that eutrophication had no significant effect on *C. pengoi* within a broad range of spatial and temporal variability.

Taking into account the moderate densities of C. pengoi, we may assume that the effect of the species on the exposed ecosystems of the Gulf of Finland is low. Much higher impacts are predicted for the more sheltered easternmost and northern areas of the Gulf of Finland (Uitto et al. 1999, Telesh et al. 2001). Besides preying on zooplankton, the effects of the species include food competition with fish and probably the reduced efficiency of trophic transfer to upper levels (Antsulevich & Välipakka 2000, Kotta et al. 2004). Our study indicates that manipulative experiments on the predation of C. pengoi on a mixture of zooplankton taxa such as Bosmina, Evadne, Pleopsis, Keratella and Synchaeta and the behavioural responses of the prey species to the presence of C. pengoi would be particularly rewarding in order to demonstrate the causative links between the dynamics of C. pengoi and other trophic levels in recipient ecosystems.

## References

- Antsulevich A., Välipakka P., 2000, Cercopagis pengoi new important food object of the Baltic herring in the Gulf of Finland, Int. Rev. Hydrobiol., 85 (5–6), 609–619.
- Avinski V., 1997, Cercopagis pengoi a new species in the eastern Gulf of Finland ecosystem, [in:] Proceedings of the final seminar of the Gulf of Finland, 1996, J. Sarkkula (ed.), SYKE, Helsinki, 247–256.
- Bray J. B., Curtis J. T., 1957, An ordination of the upland forest communities of Southern Wisconsin, Ecol. Monogr., 27, 325–349.
- Carlton J. T., 1996, Pattern, process, and prediction in marine invasion ecology, Biol. Conserv., 78 (1-2), 97–106.
- Clarke K. R., Ainsworth M., 1993, A method of linking multivariate community structure to environmental variables, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 92, 205–219.
- Clarke K. R., Warwick R. M., 2001, Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation, 2nd edn., PRIMER-E, Plymouth.
- Gorokhova E., 1998, Zooplankton spatial distribution and potential predation by invertebrate zooplanktivores, 2nd BASYS Annu. Sci. Conf., 23–25.09.1998, Stockholm, Sweden, Paper Abstr., 7.
- Gorokhova E., Aladin N., Dumont H., 2000, Further expansion of the genus Cercopagis (Crustacea, Branchiopoda, Onychopoda) in the Baltic Sea, with notes on the taxa present and their ecology, Hydrobiologia, 429 (1–3), 207 –218.
- Gorokhova E., Hansson S., Höglander H., Anderson C. M., 2005, Stable isotopes show food web changes after invasion by the predatory cladoceran Cercopagis pengoi in a Baltic Sea bay, Oecologia, 143 (2), 251–259.
- HELCOM, 1988, Guidelines for the Baltic Monitoring Programme for the third stage, Baltic Sea Environ. Proc. No 27D, 1–161.
- HELCOM, 2002, Environment of the Baltic Sea area, 1994–1998, Baltic Sea Environ. Proc. No 82B, 1–215.
- Kotta J., Kotta I., Simm M., Lankov A., Lauringson V., Põllumäe A., Ojaveer H., 2006, Ecological consequences of biological invasions: three invertebrate case studies in the north-eastern Baltic Sea, Helgol. Mar. Res., 60(2), 106–112.
- Kotta J., Simm M., Kotta I., Kanošina I., Kallaste K., Raid T., 2004, Factors controlling long-term changes of the eutrophicated ecosystem of Pärnu Bay, Gulf of Riga, Hydrobiologia, 514 (1-3), 259–268.
- Laxson C. L., McPhedran K. N., Makarewicz J. C., Telesh I. V., MacIsaac H. J., 2003, Effects of the non-indigenous cladoceran Cercopagis pengoi on the lower food web of Lake Ontario, Freshwater Biol., 48 (12), 2094–2106.
- Leppäkoski E., Gollasch S., Gruszka P., Ojaveer H., Olenin S., Panov V., 2002, The Baltic – a sea of invaders, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 59(7), 1175–1188.
- Leppäkoski E., Olenin S., 2001, The meltdown of biogeographical peculiarities of the Baltic Sea: the interaction of natural and man-made processes, Ambio, 30 (4-5), 202-209.

- Ojaveer H., Lankov A., Eero M., Kotta J., Kotta I., Lumberg A., 1999, Changes in the ecosystem of the Gulf of Riga from the 1970s to 1990s, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 56 (Suppl.), 33–40.
- Ojaveer E., Lumberg L., Ojaveer H., 1998, Highlights of zooplankton dynamics in Estonian waters (Baltic Sea), ICES J. Mar. Sci., 55 (4), 748–755.
- Ojaveer H., Simm M., Lankov A., 2004, Population dynamics and ecological impact of the non-indigenous Cercopagis pengoi in the Gulf of Riga (Baltic Sea), Hydrobiologia, 522 (1-3), 261-269.
- Ruiz G. M., Fofonoff P., Hines A. H., 1999, Non-indigenous species as stressors in estuarine and marine communities: assessing invasion impacts and interactions, Limnol. Oceanogr., 44 (3/2), 950–972.
- Sokal R. R., Rohlf F. J., 1981, Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological research, 2nd edn., W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, CA, 859 pp.
- Stachovicz J. J., Whitlatch R. B., Osman R. W., 1999, Species diversity and invasion resistance in a marine ecosystem, Science, 286, 1577–1579.
- StatSoft, Inc., 2004, Electronic Statistics Textbook, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, WEB: http://www.statsoft.com/textbook/stathome.html.
- Strake S., 2002, The contribution of nonindigenous Cercopagis pengoi (Ostroumov) in the mesozooplankton community and its population structure in the Gulf of Riga, Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Biol. Ecol., 51 (2), 91–102.
- Telesh I. V., Bolshagin P. V., Panov V. E., 2001, Quantitative estimation of the impact of the alien species Cercopagis pengoi (Crustacea: Onchopoda) on the structure and functioning of plankton community in the Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea, Dokl. Biol. Sci., 377, 157–159.
- Telesh I. V., Ojaveer H., 2002, The predatory water flea Cercopagis pengoi in the Baltic Sea: Invasion history, distribution and implications to ecosystem dynamics, [in:] Invasive aquatic species of Europe, E. Leppäkoski, S. Gollasch & S. Olenin (eds.), Kluwer, Dortrecht, 62–65.
- Uitto A., Gorokhova E., Välipakka P., 1999, Distribution of the non-indigenous Cercopagis pengoi in the coastal waters of the eastern Gulf of Finland, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 56 (Suppl.), 49–57.
- Vanderploeg H. A., Nalepa T. F., Jude D. J., Mills E. L., Holeck K. T., Liebig J. R., Grigorovich I. A., Ojaveer H., 2002, Dispersal and emerging ecological impacts of Ponto-Caspian species in the Laurentian Great Lakes, Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 59 (7), 1209–1288.